MEMBER OBJECTION

COMMITTEE DATE: 10/01/2018

APPLICATION No. 17/01020/DCH APPLICATION DATE: 18/05/2017

ED LLANDAFF

APP: TYPE: Householder Planning Permission

APPLICANT Mr Edmunds

LOCATION: TYN Y COED, 73 CARDIFF ROAD, LLANDAFF, CARDIFF, CF5

PROPOSAL:

GROUND, FIRST AND SECOND FLOOR EXTENSION TO REAR OF PROPERTY. INCREASE IN RIDGE HEIGHT REAR ROOF EXTENSION TO PROVIDE MEZZANINE FLOOR AND

ALTERATION TO FRONT DORMER; EXTENSION AND

ALTERATIONS TO SIDE DORMER AND REPLACEMENT WINDOWS AND NEW RELOCATED ENTRANCE AREA TO

GROUND FLOOR

following reasons RECOMMENDATION : That planning permission be REFUSED ģ

- detrimental to the character and appearance of the Cardiff Road considered to be an unsympathetic addition to the host dwelling and Cardiff Local Development Plan 2006-2026. Conservation Area, contrary to Policies KP5, KP17 and EN9 of the The proposed side dormer by virtue of its scale, design and position is
- 2 Development Plan 2006-2026. enhance the character or appearance of the Cardiff Road Conservation host dwelling and surrounding area and would neither preserve or an over-dominant feature within the existing roof to the detriment of the addition which would result in an overdevelopment of the site and create The proposed rear roof extension and associated balcony, Area contrary scale, design and position is considered to be an unsympathetic to Policies KP5, KP17 and EN9 of the Cardiff Local by virtue of
- ယ would neither preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the detrimental to the character and appearance of the host dwelling and 75, Cardiff Road is considered to be an unsympathetic addition EN9 of the Cardiff Local Development Plan 2006-2026 Cardiff Road Conservation Area, contrary to Policies KP5, KP17 The rooflight on the side roof plane of the rear gable extension facing no

. **DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

- <u>'</u> Planning permission is sought for extensions and alterations to Tyn y Coed, 73 Cardiff Road, Llandaff, Cardiff.
- 1.2 the mezzanine to the dwelling to the rear was also approved. elevation together with large rear glazed windows at each floor level. The creation of a ground floor patio area to the rear incorporating the reduction of existing flats within the property. New materials were introduced to the rear amended rear roof extension in order to upgrade and reconfigure the three for ground, first and second floor extensions/balconies Planning permission (16/01931/MNR) was granted permission in January 2017 incorporating an
- <u>-</u>သ originally included a larger three storey rear extension with balconies in order to further upgrade and reconfigure the existing three flats. Extensions and finishing materials to the rear (as per Planning application reference number 16/01931/MNR) also forms part of the proposed development. windows (black slimline), the creation of a patio area to the rear and new third floor is also proposed. A modern front porch, roof lights, replacement bedroom. A new side dormer incorporating a lift shaft and stairs to service the create an additional floor at third floor level to incorporate a mezzanine height, introducing a revised front dormer and rear roof extension/balcony to alterations are also proposed to the main roof including the raising of the ridge This application proposes further alterations to extend the property. These
- 1.4 The specification of the cladding to the walls behind balcony areas has also marginally set down the rear roof extension and corrected some minor errors depth approved under planning application reference number 16/01931/MNR, proposed new entrance doorway to the front elevation, reduced the size of the side dormer, removed part of rear gable end extension so that it remains at the Amended plans were submitted on the 17th October 2017 (Drawing no A103E and A104E) which have removed the front porch and replaced this with a been annotated
- 1.5 support of the application. Supplementary window detailing and heritage statement were also submitted in

2. **DESCRIPTION OF SITE**

- 2.1 The application site is a detached Edwardian red brick property which lies on the bank of the existing rear garden. Beyond this at a lower level is Llandaff Fields, a Grade II* listed park. To either side are large detached dwellings, Pentir, 71 Cardiff Road with a Coach House to the rear and Maes Derwen, 75 Cardiff Road within the Cardiff Road Conservation Area. The property comprises 3 flats and the entrance to the dwelling to the rear which is cut into Cardiff Road. Opposite is Howell's School.
- 2.2 and the outer skin of the rear elevation to ground floor flat. A supporting steel including the removal of the rear elevation wall at first and second floor level It is apparent that works have already been undertaken at the property

defective and needed replacing. framework has been erected as the owner has claimed that the rear wall was

- 2.3 dormer built. of the ridge of the main roof has been completed and a new replacement front More recently unauthorised works in the form of a side dormer and the raising
- 2.4 The building remains unfinished and with scaffolding insitu
- 2.5 level. the ground falls away to the rear and neighbouring gardens are set at a lower Changes in levels are apparent from the front to the rear of the site, whereby

ယ SITE HISTORY

<u>3.1</u> Application No: 94/01576/W

Proposal: REAR EXTENSION TO 73 CARDIFF ROAD TO FORM

ECOFRIENDLY RESIDENTIAL UNIT PERMISSION GRANTED 24/11/1994

Application No: 14/02325/DCH

Proposal: REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING DEFECTIVE FLAT

ROOF TO REAR UNIT BY INSERTION OF NEW STEEL

OF EXISTING DEFECTIVE ROOFING TO FORMER FRAME AND INSULATED DECKING. REPLACEMENT

SWIMMING POOL ENCLOSURE WITH NEW

INSULATED DECKING AND CREATION OF NEW BEDROOM OVER FORMER POOL AREA. NEW

BALCONY TO UPPER GROUND LEVEL.

WITHDRAWN 25/3/2015

Application No: 15/02381/DCH

Proposal : RETROSPECTIVE PLANNING APPLICATION FOR

ROOF TO REAR UNIT BY INSERTION OF NEW STEEL REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING DEFECTIVE FLAT

SWIMMING POOL ENCLOSURE WITH NEW OF EXISTING DEFECTIVE ROOFING TO FORMER FRAME AND INSULATED DECKING. REPLACEMENT

BEDROOM (REDUCED HEGHT) OVER FORMER INSULATED DECKING AND CREATION OF NEW

GROUND FLOOR (OVER ORIGINAL BALCONY) AND POOL AREA. PARTIAL EXTENSION OF UPPER NEW BALCONY.

PERMISSION GRANTED 06/05/2016

Application No : 16/01931/MNR

Proposal: PROPOSED REAR GROUND, FIRST AND SECOND

ELEVATION ONLY AT FIRST AND SECOND FLOORS FLOOR EXTENSION INCLUDING BALCONIES TO S

MEZZANINE EXTENSION TO BE LOWERED TO FORM EXTENDED PATIO AREA AT GROUND LEVEL. PERMISSION GRANTED 13/01/2017 AMENDMENT/EXTENSION TO MAIN ROOF. REAR

4. POLICY FRAMEWORK

4.1 Relevant National Planning Guidance:

National Planning Policy

- Planning Policy Wales (9th Ed) 2016
- Technical Advice Note 12: Design
- Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment (2017)
- The Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 Welsh Office Circular 61/96: Planning and the Historical Environment

Cardiff Local Development Plan 2006-2026 (2016)

- Policy EN9 (Conservation of Historic Environment)
- Policy H5 (Subdivision or Conversion of Residential Properties)
- Policy KP5 (Good Quality and Sustainable Design)
- Policy KP17 (Built Heritage)
- Policy T5 (Managing Transport Impacts)

Conservation Area Appraisal (Cardiff Road)

Supplementary Planning Guidance

- Access. Circulation and Parking Standards (2017)
- Residential Extensions and Alterations (2017)

Prior to January 2016 the Supplementary Planning Guidance's were approved as supplementary guidance to the City of Cardiff Local Plan (1996). Although the City of Cardiff Local Plan (1996) has recently been superseded by the Cardiff Local Development Plan (2016), the advice contained within the SPG's is pertinent to the assessment of the proposal and remains consistent with the aims of both the Cardiff Local Development Plan Policies and guidance in as supplementary guidance to the Cardiff Local Development Plan 2016 Supplementary Planning Guidance approved since January 2016 are approved Planning Policy Wales and are therefore afforded significant weight.

Ö INTERNAL CONSULTEE RESPONSES

5.1 the Llandaff Fields boundary and no dumping of materials on the banks of the objections have been raised, subject to the avoidance of damage to trees along open space. The Operational Manager Parks Services has been consulted and no

ტ EXTERNAL CONSULTEE RESPONSES

<u>ნ.1</u> The Llandaff Conservation Group have been consulted and have expressed

adversely impact on the building relationships with each other. position and presentation within the group and adjacent properties current appraisal. These proposals are not sympathetic to the property's in the Llandaff Ward Conservation Area are an important feature of the skyline proposed to be presented to the park will detract from the parkland in the Conservation Area in this location. Different groupings of houses located elevation of the property and the change to the general appearance and the the rear elevation looks over Llandaff Fields. The proposed increase in the strong concerns about the adverse impact on the Conservation Area because

7. REPRESENTATIONS

- comments provided by the Conservation Group. keeping/sympathetic at the inconvenience of the neighbours and should be brought to a timely conclusion. The proposed aesthetics of the external cladding are not in proposal and it appears this 'project' has become more and more protracted considers that Local members have been consulted. Councillor Hill-John has objected and planning permission should not be granted for the recent with the surrounding area. She also echoes
- 7.2 Neighbours have been notified and the following objections have been
- 7.3 summarised and set out below. The current issues remain substantially conjunction with previous letters The owner/occupier of Pentir, 71 Cardiff Road and the Coach House to the of 71 application Cardiff Road reference states their objection should number of objection (submitted in relation 16/01931/MNR), be which

Objections in relation to Planning Application 16/0931/MNR

- and disruption carried out by the applicant over the last two years; non-compliance and associated Enforcement Notice, associated noise unauthorised Significant concern over the condition of the 73 Cardiff Road and building activities, pattern development,
- that further unauthorised activities were commencing; No consultation by the applicant over the proposed works and concern
- unsightly and to remove materials stored on the flat roof to the rear of Requests have been ignored to remove existing scaffolding that has been in situ for the last 12 months and overlooks their property and is Tyn y Coed;
- Road of its design and character and its impact on the conservation area; Householders updated March 2010 including Article 4 Direction in terms The proposal will be contrary to advice contained in the both the Cardiff Cardiff Road and Llandaff Conservation Area Appraisals, Local Plan policies Strategic Planning -An advisory leaflet for
- noise given closer proximity; concerns over position of windows to the blockage of proposal will have light, the extension would be too close; nuisance from а detrimental effect on amenity, including

the Coach House to the rear and concerns over the building line; side and rear elevation and loss of privacy to both 71 Cardiff Road and

Concerns over compliance with building regulations;

• •

- been considered by the Council and neighbours consulted; rectifying existing unauthorised matters and before an application has Concern why it is acceptable for applicant to start new works before
- use of the flat roof; reduction of the mezzanine level, the screening to the balcony and the 15/02381/DCH in relation to Outstanding concern raised breach of conditions with regard to planning relating permission

submitted on 1st December 2016 on the following grounds A further objection has also been received in relation to amended plans

- substantially unaltered; To be read in conjunction with previous objections, these issues remain
- enjoyment of their home and amenities; The size and nature of the proposal will deprive them of privacy and
- Significant work carried out without planning consent;
- carried out two years ago; Nothing has been done to rectify the Enforcement Notice to rectify works
- Revised plans are a flagrant flouting of Council's guidance re: Cardiff Road and Llandaff Conservation Areas;
- carried out; Extending building line significantly and works have already been
- Blockage of light to whole side of the house and bedrooms in particular;
- Extension too close;
- Concern over nuisance from increased noise due to closer proximity;
- Windows inserted on East Elevation in contravention of Council document 15/02318/DCH; contents 으
- Objection to floor to ceiling windows and balconies across the back:
- infringe on privacy; size and nature totally incongruous with Council planning guidance and Windows and cladding proposed to the first and second floor are of a
- Revised plans extend ground floor flat and change to patio area which is close to their property and will cause loss of privacy;

Coach House, rear of 71 Cardiff Road who objected for the following consultant on behalf of the owner/occupier of 71 Cardiff Road and the In addition an objection to 16/01931/MNR was received from a planning

- privacy and amenity; Concern over the substantial adverse impact of the development on
- planning history and enforcement issues; permission and that the applicants approach is disturbing given the Concerns exacerbated as applicant has commenced without planning
- Overlooking from large full height glazing and balconies in terms of the coach house and rear garden of 71 and that glazing is not typical of existing dwelling;

- overbearing nature of development; windows, potential loss of privacy from second floor balcony and the Proximity to habitable room windows and loss of light to existing
- would be visible from Llandaff Fields, would dominate their property, it occupies a prominent position, that it keeping and will have an adverse impact on the conservation area; disproportionate, the choice of materials inappropriate and is out of Design inappropriate and not considered to meet aims of Conservation Appraisal where high standard of development is expected, that it ratio of glazing to wall is
- Lack of engagement from applicant;
 Contrary to Local and National
- Conservation Area Appraisal and SPG; Contrary to Local and National planning policies, Cardiff Road
- privacy, amenity and on the reasonable enjoyment of their home and it and as such should be refused. would adversely affect the conservation area and Grade 2 * listed park If allowed development would have substantial adverse impact on

Objection to current application 17/01020/MNR

the current application and reiteration relate to:-Additional comments from the owner/occupier of 71 Cardiff Road and the Coach House to the rear of 71 Cardiff Road have been received in relation to

- relating to planning permission 16/01931/MNR. Lack of enforcement action over compliance with a number of conditions
- regarding Llandaff and Cardiff Road conservation area. The revised plans are a flagrant flouting of the Council's own guidance
- far too close. light and have a serious detrimental affect on their property and will be The extension will extend the building line of no 73 significantly and building works has already been carried without approval. It will block
- There may be noise nuisance due to the extension being closer to their
- Significant loss of privacy and overlooking to main dwelling and Coach
- Floor to ceiling windows would not comply with policy.
- Windows and cladding totally incongruous.
- and possible overlooking. Extension of ground floor flat and patio area will cause privacy issues
- requested to the applicant that it is put right. No works have been attempted for weeks andscaffolding is still in place. This is unsightly and causing a significant nuisance. Totally fed up with ongoing building works, state of property
- allowed the site to progress and the whole matter is a disgrace. that the Council has been negligent in the way they have

the amended plans and are summarised below. Cardiff Road and the Coach House to the rear of 71 Cardiff Road in respect of A further objection was also received from the owner/occupiers of Pentir, 71

- (comments above). The issues referred to in previous letter remain substantially unaltered
- Objections submitted relate to points previously made above
- Significant works carried out without planning permission.
- 7.4 Comments have been submitted by solicitors acting for the owner/occupier of Flat 1, 73 Cardiff Road.

proprietor of the property in which the applicant seeks permission. permission grant is a flagrant disregard of our clients rights as registered referenced that their client was not notified of the planning application. Concern is raised that the flat will be reconfigured to accommodate the changes to the building including, porch, entrance lobby and lift. Any their ownership or result in the demolition of their clients property. It is also commenced (in relation to steel beams) and would encroach on land under this consent. Further comments predominantly relate to building works, land ownership and trespass issues and that the proposal has already despite objection this was given permission and the applicant is in breach of Reference is made to the previous application 16/01931/MNR and that

the applicant has the right to obtain planning permission over his land and he owns the freehold of the entire building and notice of works were served under the terms of the lease. regarding property maintenance/surcharge issues. The letter also states that matters/proceeding which appears to be between the freeholder/leaseholder A rebuttal was submitted by solicitors on behalf the applicant regarding private

7.5 from Llandaff Fields. The Llandaff Society disagrees with the submitted Design and Access Statement. The proposal is completely out of character Llandaff Society urges the Council to refuse the proposal. a scheme which we opposed. Planning permission should not be granted and concerned this is a case of a "creeping" proposal. These are major changes to permission was sought and the building has been an eyesore ever since the area and could present a fire hazard. Demolition started last year, before and scale. The proposed Corten and Cedar Cladding is not in character with that trees were cut down to the rear some years ago it is open to wide views Conservation Area and overlooks the listed parkland Llandaff Fields. Given The Llandaff Society have objected to the proposal. They comment that this is a fine Edwardian red brick house located within the heart of the Cardiff Road are also outstanding enforcement issues. Llandaff Society

is visible over a wide area in Llandaff Fields. This would be disrupted further by a larger expanse of roof, new dormer window, balustrade and Velux Window. The proposals contradict the purpose of the designation of this houses lining Cardiff Road. The rhythm of the fenestration to the rear façade is visible over a wide area in Llandaff Fields. This would be disrupted further design, to the detriment of the integrity of the group of substantial Edwardian reducing the prominence of the chimneys which are a feature of the current alter the amended plans. It is considered that the changes are marginal and do not Further comments from the Llandaff Society were received in relation to the previous position. The increase in ridge height would result in

Conservation Area, which is to 'conserve and enhance'. They contradict the claim on page 4 of the Design Statement that the proposals do 'not affect the characteristics or architectural prominent features as evident along the the Council to refuse the application. principal front elevation and general street scene." The Llandaff society urges

7.6 The application was also advertised by way of a site notice and press notice

8. ANALYSIS

- <u>8</u>.4 the living conditions of neighbours appearance of the Cardiff Road Conservation Area, its wider settings and on key issues are the effect of the proposal upon the character and
- 8.2 supported by advice contained in the national and local guidance as referenced ensure good design and to preserve and enhance the character of conservation areas and the built heritage of the City. These policies are also Policies KP5, KP17 and EN9 of the Local Development Plan are in place to good
- . ω With reference to Conservation Area Appraisal (p.19) notes that: the roof alterations, the adopted 2006 Cardiff Road

Dormer Windows and Loft Conversions

8.4 be supported. surface, are not appropriate. The use of roof lights on front roof slopes will not the pair. Modern roof lights in deep frames, which break up the line of the roof symmetrical, care will be taken to ensure that a roof light will not unbalance alternative to a dormer. In the case of a semi-detached property, particularly if traditional roof lights may be acceptable in discrete locations, where they respect the scale of the house, protect the character of the existing roof form, and the appearance of the Conservation Area. Small feature of the Victorian and Edwardian period during which most of the Conservation Area was developed. New dormers will only be appropriate Dormers with decorative fascias of ridges and stonework are a common

Roof Materials

8.5 natural slates or clay tiles are the best way to protect the character of the Generally original roofing materials should be maintained. Reclaimed or new be retained. Conservation Area. Decorative ridge tiles and ornamental barge boards should

Extensions and Alterations

8.6 one type of house might be completely inappropriate for another, and so it is However, the kind of extension, dormer window or loft conversion suitable for In modernising their homes, residents want larger kitchens and family rooms and need additional accommodation. Often this can be done without spoiling the character of either the individual house or the wider Conservation Area.

impossible to lay down a uniform set of rules for the whole Conservation Area.

Increase in Ridge Height

- 8.7 character the Conservation Area. viewed from Cardiff Road. As such this element is considered to preserve the unacceptably altered the form of the roof or the proportions of the building when considered that the rebuilt front roof slope with raised ridge has In respect of the increasing of the height of the front roof/ridge height
- . Θ roof extension behind this is considered to be harmful, as detailed in para 8.11. the Conservation Area from Llandaff Fields. However, the associated large flat Given the depth of the house, the raised ridge height in isolation would also not be considered to unacceptably alter the proportions of the house in views into

Front Dormer

8.9 9 existed. As such it is considered to enhance the Conservation Area fill the majority of the face of the dormer and it is slate clad as previously position, being set further down the roof slope. The windows would continue to steeper in pitch to more accurately reflect that of the principal gable; replaced; being more appropriately scaled relative to the roof slope size and The front dormer as rebuilt is arguably more sympathetic in form than the one it

Side Dormer

8.10 to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. addition at ridge height and in a prominent position. It would not be considered built and viewed on site, it would remain an uncharacteristic large flat roof toward the chimney from Cardiff Road compared to the unauthorised dormer as and appearance of this chimney stack. While its position would increase views chimney stack, resulting in a cluttered roofscape and harming the character dormer would be located immediately adjacent to an existing decorative and the Residential Alterations and Extensions SPG (2017). In addition, the the above advice within the appraisal and LDP Policies KP5, KP 17 and EN9 enhances the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, contrary to side dormer shown on the amended plans modified (from that installed without permission) would result in an incongruous addition that neither preserves nor The large size, position, unsympathetic form and poor detailing of the proposed

Additional (3rd) floor – rear mansard roof extension

- 8.11 appearance of the Conservation Area when viewed from within gardens considered on balance to be acceptable without harming the character and It is considered that the previously approved alteration and extension to the roof (private views) or from within Llandaff Fields (public views). (application reference number 16/01931/MNR) was a significant alteration and
- 8.12 newly The proposed additional floor – essentially a large almost-flat roof dormer at the raised ridge height $\overline{\mathbf{s}}$ considered ð represent a significant

views or within nearby gardens and would have an awkward and uncharacteristic shallow pitch down to the SE elevation, contrary to the elevation to the extent that the building would appear unsympathetically top-heavy and over-scaled within its context. The addition is proposed to have Alterations and Extensions SPG (2017) and LDP Policies KP5, KP17 and EN9. guidance within the adopted Conservation Area Appraisal and the Residential a marginal set down from the ridge that would not be perceptible from longer overdevelopment of the building, altering the scale and proportions of the rear

Rear projecting extension

- 8.13 the third floor, have for the most part been assessed under the previous application (16/01931/MNR) and considered acceptable. It should be noted balconies are similar to those already approved (planning application reference number 16/01931/MNR), albeit with the first and second floors being at a application (16/01931/MNR) and better reflects the rear of the existing building. the glazing to the rear was rationalised during the determination of the previous that the gable end extension is reflective of the form of the original building and bedroom at third floor level. These extensions, apart from the roof extension on slightly lower height to accommodate the introduction of the mezzanine design, amenity, impact on the Conservation Area and its wider setting. It should be noted that the revised proposed rear extension and associated Concerns relating to the rear extensions have been considered in terms of
- 8.14 indicated on the elevational drawings to side roof plane of the gable extension. 75 Cardiff Road, this is considered to be out of keeping and an unsympathetic feature which is contrary to KP5, KP17 and EN9 of the Cardiff Local been introduced to the side roof plane of the rear gable end extension facing no Having regard to the glazing, with the exception of the large roof light which has Development Plan as referenced above. It is also noted that a finish is not
- 8.15 The proposed change to enclose the second floor balcony and the introduction of a solid wall to the balcony area associated with Flat 2 is considered acceptable.
- 8.16 predominantly as approved under planning permission 16/01931/MNR and as with the original dwelling. Materials proposed to the rear elevation Corten Steel strip and UPVC composite cladding are noted, however, the rear gable end and side return will be clad in red brick slip which is more in keeping Consideration has been given to the choice of materials and the inclusion of the such remain acceptable in principle.
- distance between the properties and that the roof slopes away from No. 71. significantly affected to a degree which would warrant a refusal, serve a bedroom at second floor level have been considered. These will not be Impact on windows to the side elevations of no 71, in particular those which given the
- 8.18 did benefit from rear glazing and balconies and that privacy screens can be previously considered and considered acceptable. Given the existing building Concerns over the introduction of balconies and glazing to the rear were

degree which would warrant a refusal conditioned, it is not considered that privacy will be significantly affected to a

8.19 raised with the agent during the course of the application but has not been elevations which remove the additional gable end extension. This has been Proposed Elevations and this does not correlate to the proposed plans or shown on the roof plans on drawings no A103E Proposed Plans and A104E The roof overhang associated with the scheme as originally submitted is still

Front porch/new entrance

8.20 and does not form part of the refusal. the Conservation Area, subject to detailed joinery details for the proposed door considered to represent a minor alteration that would preserve the character of alteration of The amended plans remove the roof porch structure and instead propose an a non-original window to form a doorway. This

Replacement windows

- 8.21 and therefore are not considered a reason for refusal. acceptable, as they would reintroduce a more sympathetic central transom. the proposed replacement black slimline aluminium windows are considered Given that the property had non-original small top opening casement windows The proposed replacement windows are considered acceptable in principle
- 8.22 application were to be approved and planning permission granted. form part of the reason for refusal and could be controlled by condition if this to the side dormer window and rear gable end rooflight however this does not WEW02 and WEW03) and incorrect information has been submitted in relation been updated. (The proposal has since been amended by Drawing no's A103 E and A104E). There also appears some errors relating to window types (e.g. detailing only as these plans are based on the original proposal and has not For clarification, Drawing no A105 has been considered in relation to window (The proposal has since been amended by Drawing no's A103

Patio area

- 8.23 previously be considered acceptable subject to conditions relating to finish and The extended patio area approved under 16/01931/MNR is also shown on the submitted drawings with slightly wider steps. The principle of the patio has privacy and no further issues are raised.
- 8.24 following should be noted: In regards to comments made by neighbours which are not covered above, the
- Local Planning Authority. Noise and disruption from existing building activities is not a matter for the
- Authority as planning permission is not required for its erection. of the scaffolding is not a matter for the Local Planning
- The distress caused through building work to the neighbouring occupiers is

- matter for the Local Planning Authority. noted, however, many of these matters relating to building work are not a
- determined. Certificate B was served on the owner of the Flat 1, 73 Cardiff owning all of the property and providing Certificate B is signed and served application can be submitted and determined despite the applicant not Road in this instance. issues outside of the remit of the Local Planning Authority. A planning In response to concerns over land ownership and trespass, these are civil the relevant landowner the application can be registered
- outside of their ownership, this is also a civil issue. A planning consent does not give the applicant the right to carry out works
- The owner/occupier of Flat 1 was notified by consultation letter on the 1st and 6th June 2017 and was also consulted on amended plans on the 18th October 2017. A site notice was also in place outside of the property.
- fully considered under 16/01931/MNR. impact on neighbouring dwellings in relation to the rear extensions were Objections raised under 16/01931/MNR and those relating to privacy and
- relating to the dwelling situated to the rear. Following the determination of this application further consideration will be given to the pursuit of enforcement action relating to the current breach of planning control. non-compliance with conditions attached to a previous planning permission Council served മ Breach of Condition Notice with regard
- with under Building Regulation Legislation and not Planning Legislation With reference to cladding being a fire hazard, this is a matter which is dealt

9. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- 9.1 and disorder as a result of the proposed decision. considered that there would be no significant or unacceptable increase in crime This duty has been considered in the evaluation of this need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent, crime and disorder in its area. with due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of those functions on, and the 1998 imposes a duty on the Local Authority to exercise its various functions Crime and Disorder Act 1998 - Section 17(1) of the Crime and Disorder Act application. It is
- 9.2 on, persons who share a protected characteristic. proposed development does not have any significant implications for, or effect consideration in the determination of this application. It is considered that the characteristics', namely age; disability; gender reassignment; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation; marriage and civil partnership. The Council's duty under the above Act has been given due Equality Act 2010 - The Equality Act 2010 identifies a number of 'protected
- 9.3 Well-Being of Future Generations Act 2016 – Section 3 of this Act imposes a be no significant or unacceptable impact upon the achievement of wellbeing considered in the evaluation of this application. It is considered that there would that the needs of the present are met without compromising the ability of future the sustainable development principle to act in a manner which seeks to ensure duty on public bodies to carry out sustainable development in accordance with to meet their own needs (Section 5). This duty has

objectives as a result of the recommended decision.

10. RECOMMENDATION

10.1 Having taken all of the relevant factors into consideration it is concluded that the proposal is considered unacceptable for the reasons outlined above and refusal of permission is recommended.







